



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
102390			

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1804	1922 1/2
DATE MAILED:	

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Exr. ZISKIN (3) page 1 of 3  
(2) Mr. ABRAMS (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 3/24/95 3:20 PM

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative). Interview spontaneously instigated by Applicant's attorneys (minutes after fax sent).  
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: FAXED COPY OF PROPOSED CLAIM, FAXED AT 3:10 PM 3/24/95  
Identification of prior art discussed: THOMPSON, SMITHIES in general and not yet received by the Examiner.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: No agreement was reached on any issue. Mr Abrams asked the Examiner her opinion of the claim & was told that she had not seen the fax. Applicant then told Examiner that one claim language related to "activation of silent gene expression" was inserted to more closely track the language in the Chappel patent. The Examiner said  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

she would consider the claim and confer with Esther Reppelinger. The Examiner at no time indicated that the claim was allowable.  
PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84) Examiner's Signature A. Ziskin  
ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
102390			

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1804	1922 1/2

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

page 2 of 3

(1) Exr. ZLSKA (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) MR. ABRAMS (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 3/24/95 ~3:20 PM

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: FAXED COPY OF PROPOSED CLAIM, THE FAX NOT YET

Identification of prior art discussed: RECEIVED BY THE EXAMINER  
- see page 1

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Abrams then

stated the claim overcome the primary reference Thompson + recited  
the teaching of thereof. The examiner said she did not have the  
application before her + ~~could~~ <sup>could</sup> not ~~discuss~~ discuss the merits without the  
application. The Examiner said she would consider the newly drafted  
claim in conference with Ester, probably on Monday.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

A. Zuber  
Examiner's Signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
102,390			

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1804	1922 1/2

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

page 363

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) 21SKA (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) ABRAMS (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 3/6/95

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: see page 1

Identification of prior art discussed: see page 1

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner further said she would consider the arguments set forth by Mr. Abrams which may have been submitted with the proposed claim when she got the first.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

  
Examiner's Signature